## United States Courts Southern District of Texas ENTERED

MAR 1 2 2004

Michael N. Milby, Clerk of Court

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

In re ENRON CORPORATION SECURITIES LITIGATION This Document Relates To: MARK NEWBY, et al., Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs, vs. ENRON CORP., et al., Defendants. THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs, VS. KENNETH L. LAY, et al., Defendants.

MDL Docket No. 1446

Civil Action No. H-01-3624 (Consolidated)

**CLASS ACTION** 

[Caption continued on next page]

ي. نام PAMELA M. TITTLE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

ENRON CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. H-01-3913

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF ENRON CORP.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANDREW S. FASTOW, MICHAEL J. KOPPER, BEN GLISAN, JR., RICHARD B. BUY, RICHARD A. CAUSEY, JEFFREY K. SKILLING, KENNETH L. LAY, JEFFREY McMAHON, JAMES V. DERRICK, JR., KRISTINA M. MORDAUNT, KATHY LYNN, ANNE YAEGER-PATEL, ARTHUR ANDERSEN, LLP, AND CARL FASTOW, AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE FASTOW FAMILY FOUNDATION,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. H-04-0091

[Caption continued on next page]

ELAINE L. CHAO, SECRETARY OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,		§ §	Civil Action No. H-03-2257 (Consolidated with H-01-3913)
	Plaintiff,	§ §	
vs.		8 8 8	
ENRON CORP., et al.	D C 1 .	\$ §	
	Defendants.	§ §	

Since the first scheduling conference in February 2002, the original *Tittle* and *Newby* actions have been expanded and augmented with the addition of new actions and new parties. As it was two years ago, the Court remains dedicated to giving all parties their day in court and an efficient resolution of these cases. After lengthy collegial negotiations, the parties in the cases consolidated and coordinated for discovery have agreed to and the Court has signed the Deposition Protocol Order. The parties have proposed an aggressive but workable schedule, beginning with 18 months of fact discovery. The Court considers the scheduled dates to be *firm* dates, which are not subject to change without sufficient reason.

ORDERED that the Pretrial-Scheduling Order, which shall apply to the *Tittle* and *Newby* actions and those consolidated and coordinated cases for discovery purposes, shall be as follows:

Depositions of fact witnesses in <i>Newby</i> , <i>Tittle</i> , and the consolidated and coordinated actions, as governed by the Deposition Protocol Order, commence	Wednesday, June 2, 2004
Deadline to join new parties or to file a third-party complaint or cross complaint/claims	Monday, August 2, 2004
All fact discovery, including, without limitation, document, deposition, and third-party discovery, completed by	Wednesday, November 30, 2005
Plaintiffs' expert witnesses named and comprehensive reports of their opinions furnished by	Tuesday January 3, 2006
Defendants' expert witnesses named and comprehensive reports of their opinions furnished by	Tuesday, February 21, 2006
Plaintiffs' rebuttal expert witnesses named and their comprehensive opinion reports furnished by	Friday, March 17, 2006
Expert discovery completed by	Friday, April 14, 2006
Motions for summary judgment in <i>Newby</i> and <i>Tittle</i> may be filed up to	Monday, May 15, 2006
• Opposition to a summary-judgment motion filed before	

- Opposition to a summary-judgment motion filed before Friday, April 14, 2006 is due 45 days after the date the motion is filed.
- Opposition to a summary-judgment motion filed after April 14, 2006 is due by Friday, June 30, 2006.
- All replies are due 30 days after the opposition is filed.

Joint Pretrial Orders in *Newby* and *Tittle* filed by [Plaintiffs responsible for filing order timely]
Pretrial Conferences at 1:30 p.m. on
Trials begin at 9:00 a.m. on

Friday, September 15, 2006

October 2, 2006

October 16, 2006

As to consolidated, related and coordinated cases not currently proceeding under the controlling *Newby* and *Tittle* consolidated complaints, the Court's ruling in Part I of the July 11, 2003 Scheduling Order will govern the schedule for those cases.

SO ORDERED this 11 day of March, 2004.

MELINDA HARMON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

S:\PleadingsSD\Enron\Discovery\scheduling order.doc

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing SCHEDULING ORDER document has been served by sending a copy via electronic mail to <a href="mailto:serve@ESL3624.com">serve@ESL3624.com</a> on this March 8, 2004.

I further certify that a copy of the foregoing SCHEDULING ORDER document has been served via overnight mail on the following parties, who do not accept service by electronic mail on this March 8, 2004.

Carolyn S. Schwartz United States Trustee, Region 2 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor New York, NY 10004

Mo Maloney